Ruthenium(II) complexes of Schiff base derived from cycloalkylamines as pre-catalysts for ROMP of norbornene and ATRP of methyl methacrylate
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A B S T R A C T

Ruthenium(II) complexes of Schiff base derived from cycloalkylamines (cycloalkyl = cyclopentyl (1a), cyclohexyl (1b), cycloheptyl (1c), and cyclooctyl) (1d) were synthesized: [RuCl(CyPen-Salen)(PPh3)2] (2a), [RuCl(CyHex-Salen)(PPh3)2] (2b), [RuCl(CyHept-Salen)(PPh3)2] (2c), and [RuCl(CyOct-Salen)(PPh3)2] (2d). The Schiff base-RuII complexes 2a-d were characterized by elemental analysis, FTIR, UV-Vis, 1H-, 13C and 31P NMR, and cyclic voltammetry. The complexes 2a-d were evaluated as catalytic precursors for ROMP of norbornene (NBE) and for ATRP of methyl methacrylate (MMA). The syntheses of poly-norbornene (polyNBE) via ROMP with complexes 2a-d as pre-catalysts were evaluated under different reaction conditions ([HCl]/[Ru], [EDA]/[Ru], [NBE]/[Ru], and temperature). The highest yields of polyNBE were obtained with [NBE]/[HCl]/[Ru] = 5000/25/1 M ratio in the presence of 5 µL of EDA for 60 min at 50 °C. MMA polymerization via ATRP was conducted using the complexes 2a-d in the presence of ethyl-α-bromoisobutyrate (E'BBr) as initiator. The catalytic tests were evaluated as a function of the reaction time using the initial molar ratio of [MMA]/[E'BBr]/[Ru] = 1000/2/1 at 85 °C. The linear correlation of ln([MMA]0/[MMA]) and time indicates that the concentration of radicals remains constant during the polymerization and that the ATRP of MMA mediated by 2a-d proceeds in a controlled manner. Molecular weights increased linearly with conversion, however, the experimental molecular weights were higher than the theoretical ones.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Schiff bases have been playing an important part in the development of coordination chemistry. Schiff base metal complexes have been studied extensively because of their attractive chemical and physical properties and their wide range of applications in numerous scientific areas [1–4]. Concurrently, complexes bearing Schiff base ligands are recognized as homogeneous or heterogeneous catalysts in various organic reactions. Schiff base complexes play a central role in various homogeneous catalytic reactions and the activity of these complexes varies with the type of ligands, coordination sites and metal ions. Furthermore, such complexes have recently attracted much attention for oxidation, epoxidation, hydrogenation, miscellaneous, and polymerization reactions [5,6].

In particular, notable works were conducted in ethylene polymerization reactions catalyzed by various metal complexes containing Schiff bases ligands. Aluminum complexes of a series of tridentate Schiff base ligands were found to catalyze the polymerization of ethylene [7]. A number of pyridyl bis(imide) complexes and phenoxy imine complexes are used as catalysts in the polymerization of ethylene [8,9]. Pyridine bis(imine) complexes of iron(II) and cobalt(II) show significant activity in the polymerization of ethylene and copolymerization of ethylene with 1-hexene [10]. The salicylaldiminato complexes of zirconium were found to be effective catalysts in ethylene polymerization and promoted radical decomposition in certain cases [11]. Poly(2-methylmethacrylate) was prepared in presence of Cr(III) and Ni(II)
dichloroethane (DCE) was dried with CaCl₂ overnight.

2.1. General remarks

Ligands bound to ruthenium impart good stability and tolerance and steric hindrance, obtaining resources to understand the factors influencing its purity was checked by satisfactory elemental analysis and [14].

(Et₂N) was used as carbene source for ROMP and ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (EBiB) was used as initiator for ATRP. The goal was to observe the ring size in each reaction.

All reactions and manipulations were performed under nitrogen atmosphere following standard Schlenk techniques. 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) was dried with CaCl₂ overnight, filtered, distilled and degassed by three vacuum–degassing cycles under nitrogen before use. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) was washed with 5% NaOH solution, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, vacuum distilled from CaH₂ and stored under nitrogen at 18 °C before use. RuCl₃·xH₂O, 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinoxyl (TEMPO), tetra-n-butyl ammonium hexafluorophosphate (n-Bu₄NPF₆), norbornene (NBE), ethyl diazoacetate (EDA), cyclopentylamine, cyclohexylamine, cyclooctylamine, salicylaldehyde, and (NBE), ethyl diazoacetate (EDA), cyclopentylamine, cyclohexyl-

From CaH₂ and stored under nitrogen at RuCl₃·xH₂O, 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinoxyl (TEMPO), tetra-

Herein, we report the facile preparation and evaluation of novel ruthenium(II) complexes of bidentate Schiff bases derived from cycloalkylamines, where the cycloalkyl is cyclopentyl (2a), cyclohexyl (2b), cycloheptyl (2c), and cyclooctyl (2d) (Fig. 1), as precatalysts for ROMP of norbornene (NBE) and ATRP of methyl methacrylate (MMA) under different conditions of temperature, reaction time, and monomer concentration. Ethyl diazoacetate (EDA) was used as a carbene source for ROMP and ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (EBiB) was used as initiator for ATRP. The goal was to observe the ring size influence and its effects on catalytic activity of the studies complexes, discussing the σ-donor ability and steric hindrance, obtaining resources to understand the factors that influence the efficiency of both reactions. Moreover, base Schiff ligands bound to ruthenium impart good stability and tolerance towards various organic functionalities, air and moisture, widening thus the area of their applications.

2. Experimental

2.1. General remarks

Elemental analyses were performed with a Perkin-Elmer CHN 2400 at the Elemental Analysis Laboratory of Institute of Chemistry - USB. ESR measurements from solid sample were conducted at 77 K using a Bruker ESR 300C apparatus (X-band) equipped with a TE102 cavity and an HP 52152A frequency counter. The FTIR spectra in CsI disk and a wire as working and auxiliary electrodes, respectively. The reference electrode was Ag/AgCl. The measurements were performed at 25 °C ± 0.1 in CH₂Cl₂ with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of n-Bu₄NPF₆.

2.3. General procedure for the preparation of Schiff-Base Ligands (1a-d)

To prepare the Schiff base ligands 1a-d, a solution of salicylaldehyde in methanol was slowly added over a solution of the respective cycloalkylamine in methanol. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and the product was obtained as a yellowish orange oil. Any modifications are described below for each reaction.

Schiff-Base Ligand 1a: Salicylaldehyde (0.48 g, 4.0 mmol), cyclopentylamine (0.34 g, 4.0 mol), and methanol (15 mL) afforded 0.60 g (80%) of the title compound as a yellow oil. Anisole was added to polymerization and used as an internal standard. Analysis conditions: injector and detector temperature, 250 °C; temperature program, 40 °C (4 min), 20 °C min⁻¹ until 200 °C, 200 °C (2 min). The retention time was calibrated with standard monodispersed polystyrene using HPLC-grade THF as an eluent at 40 °C with a flow rate of 1.0 ml min⁻¹. Electrochemical measurements were performed using an Autolab PGSTAT204 potentiostat with a stationary platinum disk and a wire as working and auxiliary electrodes, respectively.

δ(C) 168.3, 151.6, 131.6, 130.8, 118.4, 116.9, 70, 34.7, 24.5.

Fig. 1. Illustration of the Schiff base ruthenium(II) complexes (2a-d).
Schiff-Bas Ligand 1b: Salicylaldehyde (0.48 g, 4.0 mmol), cyclohexylamine (0.39 g, 4.0 mmol), and methanol (15 ml) afforded 0.68 g (85%) of the title compound as a yellow oil. Refractive index 1.5678; (a) UV–Vis: $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(nm) $\times$ 10$^4$ $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$: $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(1) (386), $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(2) (379), $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(3) (425); $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(4) (559); IR (KBr): $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$: 3450, 2962, 2929, 1722, 1510, 1379, 1021, 839, 710, 696, 644, 574, 460; $\text{H}^1$ NMR: (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): 7.30 – 7.04 (m, 12H, salicyl-ring); 7.32 (dd, $\delta_{HH}$ = 1.6 Hz, 1H, salicyl-ring); 6.94 – 6.98 (dt, $\delta_{HH}$ = 0.78 Hz, 1H, salicyl-ring); 6.85 – 6.89 (td, $\delta_{HH}$ = 0.78 Hz, 1H, salicyl-ring); 5.81 – 5.89 (d, $\delta_{HH}$ = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H$_2$C=O); 1.75 – 1.89 (m, 4H, CH$_2$); 1.26 – 1.40 (m, 6H, CH$_3$); 1.36 – 1.39 (m, 6H, CH$_3$); 1.13 – 1.15 (m, 6H, CH$_3$); 0.80 – 0.85 (m, 1H, CH$_2$); $\text{C}^{13}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 161.7, 161.4, 131.9, 131.2, 118.9, 118.3, 117.04, 77.3, 77.02, 76.7, 67.4, 25.5, 24.3.

2.4. General procedure for the preparation of Schiff-base Ru complexes [2a–d]

Synthesis of the ruthenium(II) Schiff base complexes [2a–d] was accomplished according to the following procedure: To a solution of Schiff base 1a–d in methanol was added dropwise a solution of NaOH in methanol and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The deprotonated ligand mixture was transferred by cannula to a 50-ml three-necked flask fitted with a reflux condenser containing the [RuCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_3$] precursor, stirred mixture was refluxed for 4 h. A yellow precipitate was then filtered and washed with methanol and ethyl ether and then dried in a vacuum.

Complex 2a: [RuCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_3$] complex (0.30 g, 0.31 mmol), Schiff base 1a (0.070 g, 0.37 mmol), NaOH (0.18 g, 0.45 mmol), and methanol (20 ml) afforded 0.25 g (80%) of the title complex as a yellow solid: anal. calculated for C$_{54}$H$_{48}$ClNOP$_2$Ru was 68.01 C, 5.73 H and 1.59% N; found: 68.41C, 5.64 H and 1.61% N. UV–Vis: $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(nm) $\times$ 10$^4$ $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$: $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(1) (246), $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(2) (10400), $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(3) (10400), $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$(4) (10400); IR (KBr): $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$: 3450, 2929, 1722, 1510, 1355, 1021, 839, 710, 696, 644, 574; $\text{H}^1$ NMR: (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): 7.30 – 7.00 (m, 12H, metha-PPh$_3$ and 1H; CH=N); 7.25 – 7.00 (m, 1H, salicyl-ring); 5.80 – 5.85 (m, 1H, salicyl-ring); 1.75 – 1.89 (m, 4H, CH$_2$); 1.26 – 1.40 (m, 6H, CH$_3$); 1.36 – 1.39 (m, 6H, CH$_3$); 0.80 – 0.85 (m, 1H, CH$_2$); $\text{C}^{13}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 161.7, 161.4, 131.9, 131.2, 118.9, 118.3, 117.04, 77.3, 77.02, 76.7, 67.4, 25.5, 24.3.
(NBE, 5.5 mmol) and additive (HCl, 27.5 μmol), followed by addition of carbene source (EDA, 43 μmol). Usually the solution gelled for 1–2 min, but the reaction mixture was stirred for 60 min at 25 or 50 °C in a silicon oil bath. At room temperature, 5 mL of methanol was added and the polymer was filtered, washed with methanol and dried in a vacuum oven at 40 °C up to constant weight. The reported yields are average values from catalytic runs performed at least three times with 10% error at the most. The isolated polyNBEs were dissolved in THF for GPC data.

2.6. ATRP procedure

In a typical ATRP experiment, 12.3 μmol of complex was placed in a Schlenk tube containing a magnet bar and capped by a rubber septum. Air was expelled by three vacuum–nitrogen cycles before appropriate amounts of monomer (MMA, 12.3 mmol), initiator (EBiB, 24.6 μmol), and DCE (1 mL) were added. All liquids were handled with dried syringes under nitrogen. The tube was capped under N2 atmosphere using Schlenk techniques, then the reaction mixture was immediately immersed in an oil bath previously heated to the desired temperature. The polymerizations were conducted at 85 °C. The samples were removed from the tube after certain time intervals using degassed syringes. The polymerization was stopped when the reaction mixture became very viscous. The reported conversions are average values from catalytic runs performed at least twice.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterization

The bidentate Schiff bases (1a-d) were readily prepared by condensation of salicylaldehyde with four different cycloalkylamines in methanol (Scheme 1). When 1 mol of salicylaldehyde and 1 mol of amine were reacted, the corresponding products were obtained under mild conditions; confirmation of these products was demonstrated by spectroscopic data. As the ligands predominantly exist in the keto tautomeric form in the solid state, prior to the complexation step an equivalent amount of NaOH in methanol was added to the ligands to convert this keto form to enolate form. This renders the coordination of enolate oxygen. Equimolar reactions between [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₂] with the corresponding deprotonated Schiff bases (1a-d) led to the ruthenium(II) Schiff base complexes 2a-d in high yields (Scheme 1).

In the ¹H NMR spectra in CDCl₃ for the synthesized ligands (1a-d) and their complexes (2a-d) are given in Section 2. The peaks in the range 1.0–2.0 ppm for ligands 1a-d and 0.65–2.0 ppm for complexes 2a-d, as multiplets, are assignable to the CH₂ groups hydrogens from the N-cycloalkyl substituent. Additionally, the peaks at range 6.84–7.32 ppm for ligands 1a-d and 5.73–6.73 ppm for complexes 2a-d, as multiplets, are assignable to the protons of aromatic –CH groups. In the ¹H NMR spectra of ligands 1a-d and complexes 2a-d, the chemical shifts observed around 8.3 ppm for free ligands and at 7.22–7.50 for complexes 2a-d as singlets are assigned to the proton of azomethine (–N=CH–) [15]. The peak due to the azomethine showed a high field shift compared to the free Schiff base after complexation with the metal ion indicating coordination through the azomethine nitrogen atom. A singlet for OH has a distinct down-field resonance at 13.8 ppm, characteristic for the acidic proton involved in a strong intramolecular hydrogen bond in the ligands 1a-d [15]. These signals did not appear in the complexes 2a-d as expected. The ¹H NMR spectra for the complexes 2a-d were dominated by multiplets between 6.92 and 7.80 ppm due to the phenyl protons of two PPh₃ ligands coordinated in the Ru center which are upfield relative to the multiplets around 6.92–7.80 ppm from the aromatic signals for the Schiff base. In the ¹³C NMR spectra, the carbon peaks between 23.6 and 161.6 ppm for ligands 1a-d and 23.4–166.2 ppm for complexes 2a-d were observed. ³¹P NMR spectroscopy confirmed the presence of the PPh₃ ligands and their magnetic equivalence revealed that the two PPh₃ ligands are trans-positioned to each other in the complexes 2a-d since only a singlet around 43 ppm was found for all complexes, thereby minimizing steric repulsion. This orientation is typical for ruthenium Schiff base complexes containing the trans-[Ru(PPh₃)₂] core [16]. The FTIR spectra of the ligands 1a-d were compared with that of the complexes 2a-d in order to confirm the coordination of ligand to the ruthenium metal. The infrared spectra of free ligands show the characteristic ν(O–H) absorption bands around 2678 cm⁻¹ which disappears after complexation, the absorption corresponding to the ν(C=O) vibration is around 1625 cm⁻¹ in the ligands, and it is shifted approximately 12 cm⁻¹ to a lower wavenumber in the spectra of the complexes 2a-d confirming the coordination of azomethine nitrogen to the metal. The coordination of phenolic oxygen of the Schiff bases is supported by

---

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis protocol of Schiff base 1a-d and their ruthenium complexes 2a-d.
the appearance of new bands in 497–499 cm⁻¹ range due to υ(Ru–O) stretching in the ruthenium complexes [17]. In addition, these complexes exhibit one strong band in the range 414–417 cm⁻¹, which may be due to υ(Ru–N) stretching suggesting coordination of azomethine nitrogen atoms [17].

Electronic spectra of ligands 1a-d and their complexes 2a-d have been recorded in the 200–700 nm range in CHCl₃ and their corresponding data are given in Table 1. The formation of the complexes 2a-d was also confirmed by electronic spectra (Fig. 2). In the electronic spectra of the free ligands and their complexes, the wide range bands were observed due to either the π→π* and n→π* of C=N chromophore or charge-transfer transition arising from π electronic interactions between the metal and ligand, which involves either a metal-to-ligand electron transfer [18]. The electronic spectra of the ligands 1a-d in CHCl₃ (Fig. 3) showed strong absorption bands in the ultraviolet region (316–318 nm), that could be attributed respectively to the π→π* and n→π* transitions in the benzene ring or azomethine (–C=N) groups [19]. In the electronic spectra of the complexes 2a-d, these bands show hypsochromic shifts relative to their free ligands, and they may be hidden under the electronic transition of the PPh₃ ligands. This displacement of the absorption bands of the complexes 2a-d most likely originate from the metalation which increases the conjugation and delocalization of the whole electronic system and results in the energy change of the intra-ligand transitions of the conjugated chromophore. These results clearly indicate that the ligand coordinates to metal center, which are in accordance with the results of the other spectroscopic data. Furthermore, the absorption bands in the visible region are observed at between 360 and 426 nm as a low intensity bands These bands are considered to be attributed respectively to the π→π* and n→π* transitions in CHCl₃ and their complexes

$$\text{Complex 2a-d}$$

Electronic spectra of the complexes 2a-d was studied by cyclic voltammetry in scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂ solution containing 0.1 M n-Bu₄NPF₆ supporting electrolyte in the potential range 0–1.1 V. The cyclic voltammograms of 2a-d are shown in Fig. 3 and the voltammetric data are summarized in Table 2. On scanning anodically and reversing the scan direction, similar anodic waves may which may be attributed to the Ru[II] redox couple and the redox-active phenolate moieties were observed between 0.41 and 0.60 V and 0.7–1.0, respectively, for all Schiff base Ru complexes (Fig. 3). Less intense corresponding cathodic peaks were observed; and this may be attributed to the instability and transient nature of the Ru[II] ions in solution. Overall there is a clear shift in the redox potentials towards more negative values as the electron-donating ability of the cycloalkyl substituents is increased (Octyl > Heptyl > Hexyl > Pentyl). Modulation of the electron-donating ability, as well as the steric effect of the Schiff base ligands is subsequently shown to have an effect on the activity on ROMP and ATRP of the complexes 2a-d.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>FTIR (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>UV-Vis (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Ligand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a/2a</td>
<td>1277 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>416 υ(Ru-N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1629 υ(C-N)</td>
<td>459 υ(Ru-O)</td>
<td>313 (n→π*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2734 υ(O-H)</td>
<td>1335 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>370 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2952 υ(C=H)</td>
<td>1618 υ(C=N)</td>
<td>422 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b/2b</td>
<td>1274 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>417 υ(Ru-N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1629 υ(C-N)</td>
<td>497 υ(Ru-O)</td>
<td>313 (n→π*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563 υ(O-H)</td>
<td>1342 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>420 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2952 υ(C=H)</td>
<td>1618 υ(C=N)</td>
<td>420 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c/2c</td>
<td>1270 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>416 υ(Ru-N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1621 υ(C-N)</td>
<td>497 υ(Ru-O)</td>
<td>273 (n→π*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2662 υ(O-H)</td>
<td>1337 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>423 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2910 υ(C=H)</td>
<td>1610 υ(C=N)</td>
<td>423 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d/2d</td>
<td>1278 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>414 υ(Ru-N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1624 υ(C-N)</td>
<td>498 υ(Ru-O)</td>
<td>320 (n→π*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2651 υ(O-H)</td>
<td>1336 υ(C-O)</td>
<td>377 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2904 υ(C=H)</td>
<td>1611 υ(C=N)</td>
<td>426 (MLCT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Epa (V)</th>
<th>Epc (V)</th>
<th>E1/2 (V)</th>
<th>ΔEp (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: CH₂Cl₂, n-Bu₄NPF₆ (supporting electrolyte, 0.1 mol L⁻¹), [Ru]= 5 mmol L⁻¹, scan rate = 100 mV s⁻¹, platinum disk and wire (working and auxiliary electrode), Ag/AgCl (reference electrode). E1/2 is the half-potential for the complex; ΔEp is the cathodic-anodic peak separation.

3.2. ROMP reactions

The reactivity of the complexes 2a-d as catalytic precursors was
tested on ROMP of NBE in CHCl₃ with [NBE]/[Ru] = 5000, volume of EDA = 5 µL at 25 °C for 60 min (Fig. 4). In general, the complexes 2a-d presented low yields of polyNBE, the complex 2a with lower yield of 4% and 2d with higher yield equal to 11% (Table 3). ROMP of NBE with complexes 2a-d was also evaluated at 50 °C under the same conditions. The increase of temperature produced higher yields of polyNBE, with maximum yields of up to 25% and PDI values between 1.1 and 2.3. As the temperature increased to 50 °C, the yields practically doubled with a significant increase in Mₙ values of the order from 10³ to 10⁴ g mol⁻¹ in relation to 25 °C. When comparing the reactivity at 25 and 50 °C, the main difference was in the yields of polymer, which the catalytic activity at 50 °C was always higher than that observed at 25 °C for all complexes. Thus, the improvement in the catalytic activity of the complexes 2a-d at 50 °C shows that the induction period was favored.

In order to optimize the induction period of the complexes 2a-d in the ROMP mechanism, a chemical activation of the catalytic precursors was attempted with the use of HCl acid. This strategy has already been applied for the activation of ruthenium(II) Schiff bases catalysts, which the acid protonates the N-atom of the azomethine group [13c]. This causes the partial labilization of the Schiff base with generation of a vacancy at the ruthenium center, and the initiation step occurs as monomer is added. The ROMP of NBE catalyzed by the complexes 2a-d in the presence of HCl is shown in Fig. 4, where the [HCl]/[Ru] ratio was investigated to find the optimal concentration of acid.

The catalytic activity of the complexes 2a-d was sensitive with variation of the [HCl]/[Ru] molar ratio at 25 and 50 °C (Table 3). At 25 °C, a considerable increase in the yields of polyNBE as increasing the [HCl]/[Ru] ratio up to 25 was observed, followed by a drop for [HCl]/[Ru] > 50. When polymerization was performed at 50 °C in the presence of acid, a similar profile was observed, although, higher values of polyNBE yields were achieved with Mₙ values near the order of 10⁵ g mol⁻¹. It is rationalized that this increase in the catalytic activity of 2a-d can be explained by the protonation of N-atom of the Schiff base azomethine group, it creates a vacant site at the ruthenium center to formation of active species. In addition, the steric hindrance in the different Schiff bases plays a decisive role in the reactivity in the complexes 2a-d. Besides that, the order of reactivity of the complexes in ROMP increases from 2a to 2d. The liability of the azomethine group becomes more favored as the steric hindrance increases, as cycloalkyl substituent is increased from cyclopentyl (2a) to cyclooctyl (2d). However, excess HCl acid ([HCl]/[Ru] > 50) in the mixture conducted a decreasing in the polyNBE yields. Perhaps, with a very excessive amount of HCl, the chlorides should compete for a coordination site and be able to

![Fig. 4. Dependence of yield on the [HCl]/[Ru] molar ratio for ROMP of NBE with 2a-d; [NBE]/[Ru] = 5000 and 5 µL of EDA in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 and 50 °C for 60 min.](Image)
coordinate to the ruthenium center, causing the degradation of the complex in solution by full release of the Schiff base of the coordinating sphere.

ROMP of NBE with the complexes 2a-d was conducted varying the volume of EDA (Fig. 5), the polymerizations were carried out by keeping the optimal concentration of acid found ([HCl]/[Ru] = 25) at 25°C. No formation of polymer was observed in the absence of EDA. An increase in polyNBE yields is observed by increasing the volume of EDA up to 5 µL. For higher volumes of EDA (≥10 µL), a decreasing in yields is observed. In general, the M_n values also follow this trend with an increase of the molecular weights up to 5 µL followed by decreases to higher volumes of EDA (≥10 µL) with PDI values ranging from 1.1 to 3.0. (Table 4). The increase of poly-NBE yields with increasing volume of EDA up to 5 µL is indicative of the coordination of EDA with associative character. However, it should be noted that a very excessive amount of EDA ([HCl]/[Ru] ≥ 10) provokes a decreasing in the yields values, probably due to competition with the monomer for coordination onto the initiator active sites. Thus, it worth to mention that the optimum EDA amount used as a carbene source was of 5 µL for the complexes 2a-d. Considering that these complexes have the same profile when reacted with EDA, it is possible to affirm that the four complexes have the same pathway in the formation of Ru carbene in the induction period.

The yields increase when increasing the [NBE]/[Ru] molar ratio starting from 3000 with yields of 5, 8, 12, and 15% with 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d, respectively, reaching yields at least twice higher at 5000 for all complexes (Table 5). ROMP is a process governed by thermodynamic equilibrium, where the increase of monomer concentration favors the thermodynamic of polymerization providing higher polymer production [21].

When comparing the catalytic activity of the complexes in ROMP reactions, it is interesting to point out that the reactivity follows this order: 2a < 2b < 2c < 2d. The electronic and steric characteristics of the Schiff base ligands were able to tune the catalytic activity of complexes for ROMP of NBE, highlighting the importance of the Schiff base as ancillary ligand. However, it is reasonable to attribute that the steric effects on the Schiff base play a determinant role for the release of the azomethine group, rate-determining step for the formation of in-situ active species. Furthermore, this induction period can be faster as using acid (HCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Volume of EDA</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>M_n (10^3)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the UV-Vis experiments, the kinetic constants of the reaction of the complexes 2a-d with HCl acid ([HCl]/[Ru] = 25) was calculated (Fig. 6). The deprotonation reaction of the azomethine group was pseudo-first order with apparent rate constants (k_obs) of 2.7 × 10^{-4}, 4.5 × 10^{-4}, 6.4 × 10^{-4}, and 2.5 × 10^{-3} s^{-1} to the complex 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d, respectively. A decrease in the k_obs values with the increase of the cycloalkyl substituent is observed; it confirms that the reaction between the complexes 2a-d with the HCl acid is kinetically favored as the steric hindrance is increased.

Based on this, it is possible to infer that the difference in the reactivity of the studied complexes is directly related to the steric characteristics of the Schiff base ligands, which are modulated by their substituents. Upon metal-carbene formation, a PPh3 leaves the complex, followed by the coordination of NBE to the carbene-Ru species. In order to confirm this proposal, experiments in the presence of excess PPh3 (20 equiv.) at 25°C for 60 min with [NBE]/[HCl]/[Ru] = 5000/25/1 and 5 µL of EDA for the complexes 2a-d, which no formation of polymer was observed. This procedure confirms that the ROMP reaction did not occur, although the carbene complex formation took place. The ROMP will only occur when the PPh3 molecule undergoes discoordination from the metal center (Scheme 2).
3.3. ATRP reactions

The complexes 2a-d have properties that make them promising reagents for use as ATRP catalysts. They provide reversible or quasi-reversible RuII/RuIII couples at easily accessible potentials, as shown by the electrochemical data. They have either a vacant coordination site, which makes it possible for a halide ligand to enter the coordination sphere. Thus, MMA polymerization via ATRP with complexes 2a-d were performed as a function of time using EBiB as initiator with [MMA]/[EBiB]/[Ru] = 1000/2/1 M ratio at 85°C. The MMA conversion values increase exponentially as a function of time in all cases (Fig. 7). MMA polymerization with 2a achieved a maximum conversion of 47% of polyMMA and, when catalyzed by 2b, the conversion was increased by 20%, reaching approximately 70% in 17 h. However, a decrease in conversion values was observed for the complexes 2c-d under the same conditions.

Kinetics studies of MMA polymerization mediated by the complexes 2a-d show a linear correlation of ln([MMA]₀/[MMA]) as a function of time.

Fig. 6. Time-dependent UV-vis absorption spectra of 1, 2, and 3 in the presence of HCl in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C; [Ru] = 0.1 mmol L⁻¹; [HCl]/[Ru] = 25. Insert: Dependence of ln(Ainf-Aₜ) on the reaction time at 365 nm.
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function of time (Fig. 7), with a pseudo-first order rate constant ($k_{obs}$) equal to $1.07 \times 10^{-5}, 1.78 \times 10^{-5}, 1.08 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.15 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ for 2a, 2b, 2c and 2d, respectively. The linear semilogarithmic plot of ln($[MMA]_0/[MMA]$) versus time and the linear increase of molecular weight with conversion, in conjunction with moderate PDIs, illustrates a certain level of control imparted by the complexes 2a-b (Figs. 7 and 8). However, in repeated kinetic experiments molecular weights were observed to be somewhat higher than the theoretical values. This can be attributed to the number of growing radical chains being lower than expected, resulting in an effective increase in the monomer concentration ($f = 0.30–0.35$). On the other hand, the molecular weight of poly-MMA obtained with 2c-d showed non-dependence of the molecular weight on the conversion, coupled with PDI of ca. 2.0 clearly illustrating the lack of control during the polymerization. As observed, MMA polymerization suggests that the level of control can be slightly tuned by the substitution pattern of the ancillary ligand in the complexes 2a-d, as more sterically hindered substituents were incorporated into the base Schiff ligand, polymerization control decreased.

4. Conclusion

The Schiff bases ligands 1a-d and their respective complexes 2a-d were successfully synthesized. The Schiff base-RuII complexes 2a-d were characterized by FTIR, UV-Vis, $^{1}$H-, $^{13}$C and $^{31}$P NMR, and cyclic voltammetry. Complexes 2a-d were moderately active as catalytic precursors in ROMP of NBE and their catalytic activity was improved in the presence of the HCl acid using [NBE]/[HCl]/[Ru] = 5000/25/1 ratio in the presence of 5 µL of EDA for 60 min. The kinetic studies were determinate to explain the reactivity difference between the complexes 2a-d against the ROMP reactions, it follows this order: 2a < 2b < 2c < 2d. The catalytic activity of the complexes 2a-d suggests that the steric effects on the Schiff base play a determinate role for the release of the azomethine group, rate-determining step for the formation of in-situ active species, and this induction period can be faster as using acid (HCl).

MMA polymerization mediated by complexes 2a-d was performed using [MMA]/[EBiB]/[Ru] = 1000/2/1 M ratio at 85°C. A linear correlation of ln([MMA]_0/[MMA]) as a function of time mediated by complexes 2a-d indicates some level of control in the polymerization as compared to conventional radical polymerization. However, better control levels were achieved with the complexes 2a-b, in which the molecular weights increased linearly with the conversion with narrow polydispersity. On the other hand, complexes 2c-d showed low efficiency in the control of MMA polymerization, evidenced by non-dependence of the molecular weight on the conversion and broad PDIs. It is believed that the steri hindrance of the Schiff base played a decisive role in the reactivity/efficiency against the controlled polymerization of MMA.
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