DFT and Kinetic Monte Carlo Study of TMS-Substituted Ruthenium Vinyl Carbenes: Key Intermediates for Stereoselective Cyclizations
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ABSTRACT: Mechanistic pathways for the cyclization of 1,5-alkynylacetal with N₂CHTMS in the presence of Cp- and Cp*RuCl(cod) to afford (Z)- and (E)-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl spiroacetals have been calculated. Calculations show the presence of three conformers in equilibrium for the initially formed ruthenium carbenes. Differences in the stabilities and reactivities of the conformers, depending on the use of a Cp or Cp* ruthenium catalyst, are responsible for the favorable active reaction pathways in each case, even though the geometry of the resulting product is the same regardless of the catalyst used. Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations with rate coefficients, including tunneling probabilities for the hydride transfer step, were used to model the evolution of reactants, intermediates, and products for all calculated pathways. It was shown that one path is almost exclusively active for each catalyst. Finally, the energetic span model of Kozuch and Shaik was used to calculate the energetic span (δE), the TOF-determining transition state (TDTs), the TOF-determining intermediate (TDI), and the TOF value for each of the feasible mechanistic pathways.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Metal carbenic complexes have proven their value in organic synthesis due to the number of catalytic organometallic transformations in which they are involved. For example, such complexes have been investigated in a wide variety of alkene metathesis transformations such as cross metathesis (CM), ring-closing metathesis (RCM), acyclic diene metathesis (ADMET), and ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP),† as well as in reactions with alkynes such as alkyn polymerization, enyne metathesis, cyclopropenation, etc. Recently, the formation of substituted ruthenium vinyl carbene intermediates under mild conditions by treatment of functionalized alkynes with diaoalkanes in the presence of the precatalyst Cp*RuCl(cod) has been described.† Interestingly, the nature of the reaction products is strongly dependent on the alkylene functionality, whereas the stereoselectivity is more affected by subtle structural features in both the diaoalkanes and substrates (Scheme 1): (a) simple alkynes and (b) propargylic carboxylates furnished mixtures of 1,3-dienes by double carbene addition to the triple bond, coupling of the carbene with the alkyn terminal carbon, and a 1,2-shift of the carboxylate, respectively, (c) enynes with terminal triple bonds led to mixtures of diastereomers of alkynyl bicyclo[3.1.0]hexanes, (d) 1,6-enynes disubstituted at the propargylic positions afforded alkynyl alkylidene cyclopentanes; (e) 1,6-allenynes were converted to E,Z-mixtures of alkynyl alkylidene bicyclo[3.1.0]hexanes, (f) alkyn acetal, ethers and amines afforded complex E isomers of spiro and fused bicyclic structures by an intramolecular neutral redox process that involves hydride transfer to the carbene, and (g) nucleophilic attack of carboxyl nucleophiles to the carbene center led to Z isomers of 2-vinyldihydropyrans.

The mechanistic hypotheses are all based on the initial generation of the 16e ruthenium carbene intermediate B by displacement of the cod ligand of precatalyst A with the diazoalkane and concomitant release of N₂. Subsequent coordination of the alkyn followed by a facile [2 + 2] cycloaddition would give rise to the ruthenacyclobutene C, which would undergo a cycloreversion to the ruthenium vinyl carbene D, in which the alkyn geometry is not easily predictable (Scheme 2).¹ The stereochemistry of this double bond is determined, according to the proposed mechanism, during the electrocyclic opening of the ruthenacyclobutene C, in which the Cp and Y groups should be anti to avoid unfavorable steric interactions. Thus, if Y = SiMe₃ a Z configuration is favored because strong
interactions are established between SiMe₃ and Cl groups. On the other hand, if Y ≠ SiMe₃, then steric interactions are the major factors and this leads to the E configuration (Scheme 3).6,7

Nevertheless, treatment of alkynyl acetalts, ethers, and amines with N₂CHY (Y = TMS) in the presence of catalytic amounts of Cp*-RuCl(cod) gave, in most cases, the corresponding cyclic compounds with an E configuration on the vinylsilane group. A hydride transfer from a Csp³-H to an initially formed ruthenium (trimethylsilyl)vinyl carbene with E geometry might be involved (Scheme 4).10

In order to explain these apparent geometrical discrepancies, we present here a detailed theoretical mechanistic study using DFT calculations for the reaction of alkynyl acetal 1 with (trimethylsilyl)diazomethane in the presence of Cp- or Cp*-RuCl(cod) to afford the corresponding spiroacetals Z₃ and E₃ (Scheme 5). The calculated theoretical rate for each step in the catalytic cycle would allow the determination of the most favorable pathway using kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations, as well as the theoretical TOF of the catalytic cycle. Special attention was paid to the geometrical isomer formed.

2. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS
All electronic structure calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 software package12 at the CESGA facilities. The geometries of all minima and transition states involved were optimized at the B3LYP13 level with the double-ζ LANL2DZ14 basis set and the LANLD2Z pseudopotential15 to describe the electrons of the ruthenium atom. The 6-31G(d) basis set was employed for all other atoms.16 Frequency calculations were performed at the same level to evaluate the zero-point vibrational energy and thermal corrections at 298 K and to confirm the nature of the stationary points, yielding one imaginary frequency for the transition states and none for the minima. Each transition state was further confirmed by following the steepest descent to both sides and identifying the minima present in the reaction energy profile. Single-point energies were calculated using B3LYP with the addition of Grimme’s D3 dispersion corrections17 (B3LYP-D3) within the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) using the SMD model (diethyl ether)18 with the same basis set and pseudopotential for the ruthenium atom and 6-311++G(d,p)19 for all other atoms. The resulting energies were used to correct the gas-phase energies obtained from B3LYP calculations. The reaction profiles were built up in terms of ΔG°sol.

Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations20 were employed to model the time evolution of reactants, intermediates, and products. KMC is a very useful Monte Carlo simulation for modeling the transient behavior of various molecular species that participate in many highly coupled steps. The thermal rate constants k(T) for each individual step, which give the probability of taking a particular path in the KMC run, are calculated from the Eyring transition state theory (TST) expression:21

\[ k(T) = \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}/RT} \]
where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $k$ is the transmission coefficient. In this study the temperature $T$ was set to 298 K and the transmission coefficient was 1 for all steps, except for the hydride-transfer reactions. In these cases, the Eckart potential was employed to compute the tunneling probabilities, which can then be integrated to obtain the transmission coefficient.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, a conformational study of the ruthenium–carbene bond of the initially formed carbene 2 ([Ru] = CpRuCl) was carried out (Scheme 5). Three minimum conformations were found: complex 2a, which has the TMS group opposite to the Cp ring, complex 2b, which has the TMS group away from the ruthenium alkyne ligand ($\Delta G^\circ = 1.1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ less stable than 2a), and complex 2c, which has the TMS group close to the ruthenium alkyne ligand ($\Delta G^\circ = 0.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than 2a). The values for the Gibbs free energy of activation $\Delta G^f$ found for the rotation of the carbene–ruthenium bond (4.9, 2.0, and 4.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for the transformation $2a \rightarrow 2b \rightarrow 2c \rightarrow 2a$) indicate that all carbene conformers are present in the initial equilibrium (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1. Free energy profile for the conformational analysis of ruthenium carbene 2. Energies are relative to 2a and are mass balanced.

The [2 + 2] cycloadditions between the alkyne and the Ru carbene from conformers 2a,c were subsequently analyzed. In both cases, a $\eta^1$-vinyl carbene complex was obtained instead of a ruthenacyclobutene; thus, from 2a the $\eta^1$-vinyl carbene Z4 was obtained with $\Delta G^\circ = -29.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G^f = 6.6$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and from 2c the $\eta^1$-vinyl carbene E5 was produced with $\Delta G^\circ = -34.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G^f = 5.1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ (Figure 2). According to the Curtin–Hammett principle, when two products are irreversibly formed at different reaction rates from two conformers in equilibrium, the observed product distribution will not reflect the equilibrium distribution of the conformers. Thus, the mechanistic study was continued from the two isomers Z4 and E5.

Once Z4 and E5 had been formed, it was possible to find a path for their isomerization to E4 and Z5, respectively (Figure 3). In the case of Z4, this isomerization occurs in two steps: transformation of Z4 into the intermediate ruthenacyclobutene I, in which the TMS group and the Cp ring are trans ($\Delta G^\circ = 11.0$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G^f = 17.5$ kcal mol$^{-1}$), and transformation of I to E4 ($\Delta G^\circ = -13.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G^f = 3.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). On the other hand, the much more unfavorable transformation of E5 to Z5, both thermodynamically and kinetically, occurs in only one step with $\Delta G^\circ = 5.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G^f = 33.2$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. In this last transformation, the ruthenacyclobutene that is analogous to I, but with the TMS group cis to the Cp ring, was found to be the transition state TS$_{E5-Z5}$ probably due to the instability caused by the cis disposition of the TMS and the Cp ring.

In summary, the two structures Z4 and E4 can be envisaged as resulting from the two possible ring-opening conrotatory electrocyclizations of the ruthenacyclobutene I (TMS and Cp trans), whereas E5 and Z5 would result from the same reaction type on the transition state TS$_{E5-Z5}$ (structurally analogous to intermediate I but with TMS and Cp cis to one another). Therefore, the spiroacetal Z3 will arise from the hydride transfer to the ruthenium carbene in species Z4 and Z5 while spiroacetal E3 will arise from E4 and E5.

In order to facilitate the hydride transfer to the ruthenium carbene, it is necessary to place the hydrogen in an appropriate position, which requires a prior conformational change of the
alkynyl acetal ligand. The energetically most favorable conformations would be those that allow a six-membered transition state in a chair conformation. Considering that there are two chairlike conformers and that the hydride can attack the carbene at either the Si or Re face, there are a total of 16 different geometries suitable for the hydride transfer: i.e., four for each isomer. For example, in the case of Z4 there are two possible conformers, Z4aSi and Z4bSi, for the attack of the hydride on the Si face of the Ru carbene and two other conformers, Z4aRe and Z4bRe, in the case of attack on the Re face (Figure 4). For the other isomers, E4, E5, and Z5, an analogous nomenclature will be used.

We next tried to calculate the energy profiles for each of the 16 possible geometries found, but only 11 were connected with the final reaction products: Z4aSi, Z4bRe, E4aSi, E4bSi, E4aRe, E4bSi, E5aSi, E5bSi, E5bRe, Z5aSi, and Z5bSi.

The KMC study allowed us to determine the theoretical branching ratio of spiroacetal (Z3/E3) obtained as well as the preferred pathways. Considering that all molecules of the initially formed carbene species 2 are in the conformation represented by 2a, the KMC simulations indicate that the system evolves almost exclusively to isomer E3 (Figure 5). Initially, the population of ruthenium carbene 2a decreased to produce the η²-vinyl carbene Z4 and the most stable conformer 2c, which quickly evolved to η³-vinyl carbene E5. After 1.2 × 10⁻⁸ s all of the initial ruthenium carbene 2 was transformed into Z4 and E5 in a 7:93 ratio. After formation of E5, this intermediate started to evolve to the final product E3, which was produced in 93% yield through the paths involving intermediates E5bSi and E5aSi in a 83:10 ratio (Figure 5). Intermediate Z4 had a longer lifetime, evolving after 2 × 10⁻³ s to the final product Z3 in 7% yield through intermediates Z4bRe and Z4aSi in a 6:1 ratio.

Thus, the main pathway to obtain E3 involves the isomer E5 and it goes through conformer E5bSi (Figure 6). The initial step is the conformational change of E5 to the chairlike conformer E5bSi, which is 0.4 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable and more suitable for the hydride transfer.³⁰ Hydride migration to the Si face of the η²-vinyl carbene E5bSi afforded species II, in which the ruthenium is η² coordinated to the alkene of the final product E3 (ΔG° = −21.1 kcal mol⁻¹, ΔG°⁺ = 9.8 kcal mol⁻¹). Decoordination of the ruthenium will afford free E3, while reaction of the metal species with 1 and (trimethylsilyl)-diazomethane regenerates catalytic species 2 with the evolution of N₂. The total ΔG° value for the formation of E3 from 1 + N₂CHTMS is −74.1 kcal mol⁻¹.

The energetic spin model of Kozuch and Shaik was also employed to calculate the energetic span (δE), the TOF-determining transition state (TDTS), the TOF-determining intermediate (TDI), and the TOF value for each of the calculated mechanistic pathways. In this case, the energetic span for this pathway was 9.8 kcal mol⁻¹ (where the TDI is E5bSi and the TDTS is the transition state for the hydride migration from E5bSi to II) and the calculated TOF was 2.8 × 10⁻³ s⁻¹. The other 10 possible pathways have both higher energetic span, δE, and smaller TOF, which is in good agreement with the results of the KMC simulation and indicates that the path involving intermediate E5bSi is the most active for the studied transformation.

To support the reliability of the energy profiles calculated, further geometry optimizations and single-point energy calculations have been carried out using the ωB97XD functional (which includes a version of Grimme’s D2 dispersion corrections), using the same basis sets and implicit solvent model as in the previous B3LYP-D3 study for (i) conformational analysis of ruthenium carbene 2, (ii) formation of Z4 and E5 from 2a,c, respectively, (iii) isomerization of Z4

Figure 4. Suitable conformations for the hydride transfer to the ruthenium carbene in the case of isomer Z4.

Figure 5. Fraction of molecules as a function of time obtained in the KMC simulations.

Figure 6. Free energy profile for the formation of E3 from E5 through conformer E5bSi. Energies are relative to 2a and are mass balanced.
and $E_5$ into $E_4$ and $Z_5$, respectively, and (iv) formation of $E_3$ from $E_5$ using the $\omega$B97XD functional. Importantly, the results obtained using $\omega$B97XD and B3LYP-D3 functionals show a very good agreement, reinforcing the confidence in the present computational study.

The KMC study for the profile calculated using the $\omega$B97XD functional, showed in Figure 7, with all the initial molecules in conformation 2a is displayed in Figure 8. After $2 \times 10^{-10}$ s 2a was completely transformed into $Z_4$ and $E_5$ in a 16:84 ratio. After formation of $E_5$, this intermediate evolved to the final product $E_3$ in 84% yield through the intermediate $E_5b_{5b}$ without any isomerization to $Z_5$. Intermediate $Z_4$ evolved slowly to the more stable intermediate $E_4$ after 20 s.

Again, the kinetic results obtained from the $\omega$B97XD and the B3LYP-D3 profiles agree very well, pointing out the robustness of the computational methodologies employed in the present study.

We subsequently turned our attention to the same reaction but using Cp*RuCl(cod) as catalyst, which showed the same stereoselectivity but gave better chemical yields. As in the previous example, the conformational equilibrium of the initially formed ruthenium carbene species 2* (Cp* instead of Cp) was analyzed (Figure 9). In this case, three conformational minima were also obtained but with a energy profile different from that when CpRuCl(cod) was used (Figure 1): the most stable conformer was complex 2a*, which has the bulky TMS group opposite to the Cp* ring, complex 2b*, which has the TMS group away from the...
ifer, was 4.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) less stable than \(2a^*\). Given the \(\Delta G^\ddagger\) values shown in the energy profile for the formation of \(Z4^*\) and \(ES^*\) (Figure 10), it is reasonable to consider that most of the ruthenium carbene species \(2^*\) will evolve through pathways involving intermediate \(Z4^*\). Thus, we first calculated the isomerization of \(Z4^*\) to \(E4^*\) via intermediate \(I^*\) (Figure 11) and, as before, the pathways leading to \(Z3\) (through the conformers \(Z4a_{sl^*}\) and \(Z4b_{re^*}\)) and \(E3\) (through conformers \(E4a_{sl^*}\), \(E4b_{sl^*}\), \(E4a_{re^*}\) and \(E4b_{re^*}\)) were evaluated.

KMC simulations including the six feasible pathways, bearing in mind once again that all molecules of the initially formed carbene species \(2^*\) are in the conformation represented by \(2a^*\), show that \(E3\) is obtained exclusively (Figure 12). After approximately \(1.5 \times 10^{-6}\) s, all of the conformers of carbene \(2^*\) had been transformed into \(Z4^*\) (99%) and \(ES^*\) (1%), thus showing that pathways involving intermediate \(ES^*\) can be ruled out. After \(2 \times 10^{-3}\) s, \(Z4^*\) started to isomerize to \(E4^*\) through intermediate \(I^*\). Finally, \(E3\) was obtained in 94% yield via a pathway involving \(\eta^1\)-vinyl carbene \(E4b_{sl^*}\) (Figure 10). The other minor active pathways leading to \(E3\) involve the intermediate species \(E4a_{sl^*}\) and \(E4b_{sl^*}\) to give 3% and 1% yields, respectively. A minor amount of isomer \(Z3\) was also obtained through the pathway involving \(Z4a_{sl^*}\) (1% yield). In comparison to the mechanistic study with \(\text{CpRuCl(cod)}\), pathways leading to \(Z3\) were not active at all and there was almost a unique pathway to the final product \(E3\), which could explain the better yields observed experimentally when \(\text{Cp}^*\text{RuCl(cod)}\) is used.

The main pathway responsible for the major formation of \(E3\) involves the initial isomerization of \(\eta^1\)-vinyl carbene \(E4^*\) to its chairlike conformation \(E4b_{sl^*}\), which is 0.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) more stable, followed by hydride migration over the \(\text{Si}\) face to give species \(III\) with \(\Delta G^\ddagger = -23.8\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and \(\Delta G^\ddagger = 15.3\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Finally, as in the case of the \(\text{CpRuCl(cod)}\), decoordination of the ruthenium will afford free \(E3\) (Figure 13).

The calculated energetic span, \(\Delta E\), for this pathway was 17.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), where the TDI is \(Z4^*\) and the TDTS is the transition state for the isomerization step \(I^*\) to \(E4^*\), showing that the rate-determining step in this case is the \(Z\) to \(E\) isomerization, rather than the hydride migration, the calculated TOF being 1.9 s\(^{-1}\). The other six calculated pathways both have a higher energetic span, \(\Delta E\), and smaller TOF, thus showing that the path involving the intermediate \(E4b_{sl^*}\) is the most active in the case where \(\text{Cp}^*\text{RuCl(cod)}\) is used as catalyst.

In summary, we have calculated all pathways for the reaction of alkynyl acetal 1 with the ruthenium carbones 2 and 2* to give the spiroacetals Z3 and E3 (Scheme 4). Although both theoretical and experimental results show the exclusive formation of spiroacetal E3, there are significant peculiarities.

Figure 10. Free energy profile for the formation of \(Z4^*\) and \(ES^*\) from \(2a^*,c^*\), respectively. Energies are relative to \(2a^*\) and are mass balanced.

Figure 11. Free energy profiles for the isomerization of \(Z4^*\) to \(E4^*\) through \(\text{Cp}^*\text{ruthenacyclobutenes} I^*\). Energies are relative to \(2a^*\) and are mass balanced.

Figure 12. Fraction of molecules as a function of time obtained in the KMC simulations.
in the mechanistic pathways depending on the catalyst used: (i) the conformational free energy profile for the two ruthenium carbene species 2 and 2* is different in terms of both ΔG° and ΔG‡ (Figure 1 vs Figure 9), and (ii) their transformations to either (Z)- or (E)-vinyl carbene have different ΔG‡ values (Figure 2 vs Figure 10). Therefore, with CpRu as catalyst, the main pathways go through the most favorable formation of intermediate E5 and conformer E5b* (Figure 6). On the other hand, with Cp*Ru as catalyst, the initial formation of intermediate Z4* is favored (Figure 10) and its isomerization to E4* is faster than its transformation to the product Z3 to finally afford E3 through conformer E4b* (Figure 13). KMC simulations and the energetic span model, ΔE, confirm these results. In conclusion, for a reaction that proceeds through a TMS-substituted ruthenium vinyl carbene as intermediate, the use of CpRu as catalyst should afford (E)-vinyl silanes as the major isolomers, while the use of Cp*Ru should give rise to an E/Z configuration or a mixture depending on the evolution (ΔG‡) of the initial (Z)-vinyl silane formed.  
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